Received 8 November 2006 Accepted 29 November 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Hao-Han Wu,^a Fen-Yan Lian,^b Da-Qiang Yuan^b and Mao-Chun Hong^b*

^aDepartment of Materials Science & Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Auhui 230026, People's Republic of China, and ^bState Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, FuJian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: hmc@fjirsm.ac.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.056 wR factor = 0.122 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Aquabis(1,10-phenanthroline)(4,4'-sulfonyldibenzoato)nickel(II) dihydrate

In the title compound, $[Ni(C_{14}H_8O_6S)(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)]$ ·-2H₂O, each Ni atom is coordinated by four N atoms [Ni-N = 2.083 (2)-2.096 (3) Å] from two 1,10-phenanthroline ligands and two O atoms [Ni-O = 2.032 (2) and 2.100 (2) Å] from a 4,4'-sulfonyldibenzoate ligand and a water molecule in a distorted octahedral geometry. The crystal structure exhibits intermolecular $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions.

Comment

Recently, the design and synthesis of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have led to a large number of coordination polymers (Moulton & Zaworotko, 2001; Kitagawa & Kitaura, 2004; Yaghi *et al.*, 2003). Multidentate *N*- or *O*-donor ligands have been employed extensively as organic spacers in the construction of extended networks (Fujita *et al.*, 1994; Kitagawa & Kondo, 1998; Jung *et al.*, 2000). We have chosen 4,4'sulfonyldibenzoic acid as a typical V-shaped ligand, which can coordinate the metal ions in bi-, tri- and tetradentate modes, and generate some predicted controlled structural frameworks in variable experimental conditions (Sun *et al.*, 2006; Chen & Lin, 2002; Han *et al.*, 2005). In this paper, we report the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound, (I).



© 2007 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved In (I) (Fig. 1), each Ni atom is coordinated by four N atoms from two 1,10-phenanthroline ligands and two O atoms from a



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I), showing the atom numbering and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines. The C-bound H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 2

A portion of the crystal packing, showing the intermolecular hydrogen bonds (red) and $\pi - \pi$ stacking interactions (green) as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds have been omitted.

4,4'-sulfonyldibenzoate ligand and a water molecule in a distorted octahedral geometry (Table 1). The uncoordinated water molecules contribute to the formation of intermolecular O-H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 2). The crystal structure (Fig. 2) also exhibits short distances between ring centroids $Cg1 \cdots Cg2^{\text{iii}}$ [3.521 (2) Å] and $Cg3 \cdots Cg3^{\text{iv}}$ [3.813 (2) Å] (Cg1, Cg2 and Cg3 are the centroids of rings N4/C33-C37, C30-C33/C37/C38 and N1/C15-C18/C26, respectively), indicating the presence of π - π stacking interactions [symmetry codes: (iii) 1 + x, 1 + y, 1 + z; (iv) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z].

Experimental

The title compound, (I), was prepared by the hydrothermal reaction of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.060 g, 0.20 mmol) and 4,4'-sulfonyldibenzoic acid (0.060 g, 0.20 mmol) in water (8 ml). The reaction was performed in a 23 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel Parr bomb under autogeneous pressure. After heating at 423 K for 3 d and cooling to room

13188 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int}=0.028$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

7689 independent reflections

5663 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data

 $\gamma = 91.836~(5)^{\circ}$ [Ni(C14H8O6S)(C12H8N2)2-V = 1698.4 (3) Å³ $(H_2O)]\cdot 2H_2O$ $M_{\rm r} = 777.43$ Z = 2Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ $D_r = 1.520 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ a = 8.8457 (10) ÅMo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.70 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 12.4789 (14) Å c = 16.673 (2) Å T = 293 (2) K $\alpha = 105.894 (4)^{\circ}$ Prism, green $0.35 \times 0.25 \times 0.10$ mm $\beta = 105.091 (4)^{\circ}$

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2000) $T_{\min} = 0.792, T_{\max} = 0.934$

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Refinement on } F^2 & w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_{\rm o}^2) + (0.0418P)^2 \\ R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056 & w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_{\rm o}^2) + (0.0418P)^2 \\ + 0.8893P] & where \ P = (F_{\rm o}^2 + 2F_{\rm c}^2)/3 \\ S = 1.09 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ 7689 \ reflections & \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.49 \ e^{\begin{subarray}{c} {\rm A}^{-3} \\ 478 \ parameters \\ H-atom \ parameters \ constrained \end{array}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ni1-O1	2.032 (2)	Ni1-N3	2.090 (2)
Ni1-N2	2.083 (2)	Ni1-N1	2.096 (2)
Ni1-N4	2.084 (2)	Ni1-O7	2.101 (2)
O1-Ni1-N2	172.65 (10)	N4-Ni1-N1	173.57 (10)
O1-Ni1-N4	93.11 (9)	N3-Ni1-N1	98.44 (9)
N2-Ni1-N4	93.95 (9)	O1-Ni1-O7	91.59 (8)
O1-Ni1-N3	88.40 (9)	N2-Ni1-O7	89.87 (9)
N2-Ni1-N3	90.82 (9)	N4-Ni1-O7	94.64 (9)
N4-Ni1-N3	79.99 (9)	N3-Ni1-O7	174.62 (9)
O1-Ni1-N1	93.08 (9)	N1-Ni1-O7	86.92 (9)
N2-Ni1-N1	79.81 (10)		

l able 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O7-H7A\cdots O3^{i}$	0.85	2.02	2.865 (3)	174
$O7 - H7B \cdots O2$	0.85	1.82	2.633 (3)	159
$O8 - H8B \cdots O9$	0.85	2.08	2.897 (4)	160
$O8-H8A\cdots O4$	0.85	2.13	2.907 (4)	152
O9−H9A···O3 ⁱⁱ	0.85	2.04	2.879 (3)	171
O9−H9 <i>B</i> ···O3	0.85	2.15	2.993 (4)	171

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y + 1, z; (ii) -x - 2, -y - 1, -z.

The H atoms of water molecules were located in difference Fourier maps. C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically. All H atoms were refined as riding (C–H = 0.93 Å and O–H = 0.85 Å), with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C,O})$.

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2000); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve

structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province.

References

Chen, X. & Lin, G. (2002). Chem. Eur. J. 8, 4811-4817.

Fujita, M., Kwon, Y. J., Washizu, S. & Ogura, K. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 1151-1152.

Han, Z., Cheng, X. & Chen, X. (2005). Cryst. Growth Des. 5, 695-700.

Jung, O. S., Kim, Y. J., Lee, Y. A., Park, J. K. & Chae, H. K. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 9921-9925.

Kitagawa, S. & Kitaura, R. (2004). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43, 2334-2375. Kitagawa, S. & Kondo, M. (1998). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 71, 1799-1806.

Moulton, B. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2001). Chem. Rev. 101, 1629-1658.

Rigaku (2000). CrystalClear. Version 1.3. Rigaku Coporation, Tokyo, Japan. Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sun, C., Gao, S. & Jin, L. (2006). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 12, 2411-2421.

Yaghi, O. M., O'Keeffe, M., Ockwig, N. W., Chae, H. K. & Eddaoudi, M. (2003). Nature (London), 423, 705-714.